fMRI 1: preprocessing and diagnostics

Johan Carlin MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, Methods Group johan.carlin@mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk

Acknowledgment: Tibor Auer



Outline

- How to pre-process fMRI data
- How to assess data quality (and adequacy of preprocessing corrections)
- A brief intro to the automatic analysis (AA) batch processing package for Matlab

A typical fMRI dataset

- Anatomical data: T1-weighted, 3D, 1 per subject or session
 - (ME)MPRAGE sequence, minimally distorted
 - High spatial resolution (~1 mm isotropic)
 - Optimised for structural contrast (grey vs white matter)
 - Acquisition time ~5 minutes
- Functional data: T2*-weighted, 4D, 1 per scan
 - EPI sequence, distorted
 - Lower spatial resolution (2-3 mm, perhaps non-isotropic)
 - Optimised for functional contrast (oxy- vs de-oxy haemoglobin)
 - Acquisition time ~2 seconds per image (20-30 slices)
- Fieldmaps: $2 \times 3D$, 1 per session
 - Dual-echo GE sequence, undistorted
 - Lower spatial resolution (similar to fMRI)
 - Map of magnetic field inhomogeneities
 - Acquisition time ~1-2 minutes.







What does fMRI data look like?

3D EPI, GRAPPA R=2, 2mm iso voxels, TR=1.06s



What does fMRI data look like? eye blink / movement effect (not just in eye balls!)

weird artefact (go see your MR large dropout physicist) draining (ear canals) vein

Example voxel - raw data



Example voxel - raw data



Example voxel - raw data



So many problems

- HRF is delayed and temporally smooth
- Signal intensity drifts over time
- EPI images are distorted
- The head is likely to move during the fMRI scan
- Slices within the same image come from different time points (assuming standard 2D EPI)
- Head movements between fMRI scans and structural scans
- Signal is typically spatially extended
- Even if we can correct the above, different people's brains are different shapes, so how do you do group analysis?







So many problems

- HRF is delayed and temporally smooth
- Signal intensity drifts over time
- EPI images are distorted
- The head is likely to move during the fMRI scan
- Slices within the same image come from different time points (assuming standard 2D EPI)
- Head movements between fMRI scans and structural scans
- Signal is typically spatially extended
- Even if we can correct the above, different people's brains are different shapes, so how do you do group analysis?

Solutions

- Just model it! Next lecture
- Just model it! Next lecture
- Use a B0 fieldmap to undistort
- Rigid-body motion correction (realign)
- Slice timing correction
- Coregister headers
- ended Spatial smoothing
 - Normalisation to template (MNI) brain, or ROI analysis

So many problems

- HRF is delayed and temporally smooth
- Signal intensity drifts over time
- EPI images are distorted
- The head is likely to move during the fMRI scan
- Slices within the same image come from different time points (assuming standard 2D EPI)
- Head movements between fMRI scans and structural scans
- Signal is typically spatially extended
- Even if we can correct the above, different people's brains are different shapes, so how do you do group analysis?

Preprocessing is no substitute for collecting high quality data

realign - distortion interaction

realign - slice time interaction

harder to fix

Interactions are harder to fix

Typical preprocessing pipeline







Images in dicom format

Convert to format used by SPM (NIFTI)



Initial image diagnostics



Typical AA pipeline





autoidentifyseries timtrio get dicom structural get dicom epi get_dicom_fieldmap



convert structural convert epis convert fieldmaps fieldmap2VDM





smooth





nature,

paper maker ???









norm write dartel worm write meanepi dartel

secondlevel model

coreg extended 2epi

realignunwarp slicetiming

biascorrect structural coreg extended 1 segment8 multichan dartel createtemplate dartel norm write +

freesurfer initialise freesurfer autorecon all





firstlevel model

firstlevel contrasts

firstlevel threshold

EPI diagnostics

Mean and variance

Diagnostic plots:

images:











15

Slice number

20

25

30

8 6

4 2

0

5

10

Scaled variance of difference from the 1st vol.:

Volumewise

Slicewise

Descriptive stats:

Volumewise

Slicewise

Template brain normalisation

Goal: transforming brain so its shape matches that of a template. Useful for:

- Group analysis
- Cross study comparison, meta analysis

Template: universal space

- Talairach and Tournoux, 1988 Brainvoyager, AFNI
 - Based on a single subject
- Montreal Neurological Institute: MNI152 SPM, FSL
 - Averaged from T₁ images of 152 subjects
- Information eXtraction from Images (London): IXI (in SPM12)
 - Also in MNI
 - Fewer subjects, but may be more representative locally
 - More classes (segmentation)

Coordinate systems



Voxel coordinates

0

0

_					•	_	
-3.0	-0.2	0.1	107.1		34		
-0.3	2.8	-1.3 -61.7		V	28		
0	1.0	3.8	-47	Х	5	=	
0	0	0	1		1		







_ mm coordinates

"Spaces"

- "Voxel space": location in the data matrix
- "World space": meaningful units (mm) from a POO¹
- Transformation matrix: relationship between "voxel space" and "world space"

Tra	ans	slat	tions		Pit	ch		R	oll			Yaw		
(1	0	0	X trans	(1	0	0	0) ($\cos(\Theta)$	0	$\sin(\Theta)$	0)	$(\cos(\Omega))$	$sin(\Omega)$	0	0)
0	1	0	Ytrans	0	$\cos(\Phi)$	$\sin(\Phi)$	0 × 0	1	0	0 _×	$-\sin(\Omega)$	$\cos(\Omega)$	0	0
0	0	1	Ztrans	0	$-sin(\Phi)$	$\cos(\Phi)$	$0 -\sin(\Theta)$	0	$\cos(\Theta)$	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1)	0	0	0	1 0	0	0	1)	0	0	0	1

- Changing the transformation matrix²
 - \downarrow
- Changes the relationship
 - \checkmark
- Transforms the image without having to resample (reslice) it

Coordinate transformations

The transform can describe a transition

- voxel index >> mm (e.g., relative to center of scanner bore)
- mm >> mm (e.g., from scanner to MNI)

Transforms can be

- Linear (ie, same parameters for the entire volume)
 - 'rigid body': 6 df translation (x,y,z), rotation (pitch, roll, yaw). Used for co-registration between structural and EPI, motion correction
 - 'affine': 12 df scale (aka 'zoom'), shear. Used as initial stage of normalisation.
- Non-linear (ie, different parameters for different voxels) translation for normalisation to template brains

The transform can be applied to

- Header only (e.g., in co-registration)
- To reslice a new, transformed image (e.g., in motion correction, normalisation)



rotation



/ /

shear



scale

SPM normalisation

- Two steps: first affine registration, then nonlinear registration with regularisation to correct local errors
- Unified segmentation: divide the brain into 3 tissue classes (WM, GM, CSF) and normalise each separately to a template. Much better than whole-image normalisation, if the segmentation is good (effectively another nonlinearity)
- Not expected to work perfectly -SPM assumes you will use spatial smoothing to overcome residual errors in registration



Normalization routes

The goal is to bring the fMRI data into a standard space:

- Direct: EPI >> MNI
 - Probably bad idea. Distorted, not optimised for anatomical contrast
- Indirect:
 - Coregister participant's fMRI and structural data (EPI header >> T1 header)
 - Find nonlinear transform to bring participant structural into MNI space (T1 >> MNI)
 - Apply the transform to the EPI data (EPI >> MNI)
- Indirect + study template (DARTEL):
 - EPI header >> T1 header
 - Create study-specific template brain (T1 >> study template)
 - Normalize the study-specific template (study template >> MNI)
 - Apply the concatenated T1 >> study template >> MNI transform to the EPI data



Sidebar - converting between Talairach and MNI

- The 'classic' route for converting between the Talairach atlas and MNI is the 'Brett' transform (Brett et al, 2001, NeuroImage - developed here at CBU): <u>http://</u> imaging.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/imaging/MniTalairach
- But if the goal is to convert between MNI and Talairach volumes (ie, outputs of a software package such as AFNI), you will probably get a better result with the BrainMap transform, which builds on the Brett transform to improve registration performance (Lancaster et al., 2007, HBM): <u>http://www.brainmap.org/icbm2tal/</u>

Normalisation diagnostics

Segmentation QA Normalisation is usually checked manually (e.g. overlay normalised images in FSLView)





Slice time correction

- Interpolate data to approximate what we would have obtained, had we acquired all slices simultaneously
- Key parameter: reference slice. The only slice that is not interpolated, so pick your favourite, or perhaps the middle (to minimise overall interpolation)
- Be *very* careful to specify the correct slice order! AA helps automate this.



Motion correction (realign)

- A 6-parameter rigid body correction
- Target: the first image of the first run, or the mean image of the first run
- Works perfectly under these assumptions:
 - 1. The magnetic field is homogeneous
 - 2. All slices are acquired simultaneously
 - 3. The images are noiseless (remember, the motion parameters are *estimated* from the EPI images themselves)
 - ... So motion correction is not expected to work perfectly with real distorted, sequentially acquired, noisy data. But it helps!
- Be suspicious of data with a lot of head movement





Example voxel - motion corrected

Looks better - especially run 3.

We still need to remove low-frequency scanner drift before the model below makes sense (next lecture).



Motion artefacts to look out for: spin history effects

- Movement against slice direction can lead to striping
- Only really a problem with interleaved acquisitions because if you move up 1 voxel, the slices are excited every 1/2 or 3/2 TR instead of 1/1 as intended
- Basically a movement by slice time interaction - can't Sequential correct this, and motion parameters won't show the problem. Need to look at your data!

No motion Motion



Thanks: Danny Mitchell

Motion artefacts to look out for: magnetic field inhomogeneities

- Large changes to head position (typically from between run movement) can change the apparent shape of the head
- Because motion correction is rigid body, we typically can't accommodate these effects
- Won't show up in motion parameters (but large estimated motion is a hint that something could be going on). Check your data!

First (realigned) volume from each run: Anterior-posterior stretching clearly visible

		\bigcirc	
		0	

Problematic and nonproblematic motion traces



Realign before or after slice time correction?

- Generally it boils down to which preprocessing step you want to prioritise:
 - Slice time first: + slice timing is completely fixed (ish), non-linear (ie, noncorrectable) spatial effects in your data if there is head motion
 - Realign first: + head motion is completely fixed (ish), slice timings are a little bit wrong sometimes due to head movement
- So realign first if:
 - You use a sequential acquisition timing errors will be small (slices are ~50ms apart), << CBU standard sequence
- Slice time correct first if:
 - You use an interleaved 2D acquisition timing errors could be large
- Don't bother with slice time correction if:
 - You acquire the slab at once (3D EPI) or TR is extremely short (e.g., multi-band)
 - If you plan to model HRF shape (FSL solution) but careful with overfit

Realign & Unwarp or just Realign?

- Unwarp is basically another step on top of realign first realign, then estimate deformations caused by the interaction between head movement and magnetic field
- So instead of a static undistortion step (applied equally to all images in the time series), estimate a continuous correction over time as a function of head movement
- Sounds good, but does not always help in practice (it's only an estimate, after all!). Standard realign is still the default for most.
- If you are really worried about distortion head movement interactions, perhaps better to collect one fieldmap per run and undistort each run separately

Coregistration

- Rigid-body align *between modality*
- Similar to realign, but:
 - different algorithm to accommodate differences in image contrast
 - typically only applied to the structural *header*
- Why not reslice the structural? a) you'd be reslicing to the EPI resolution, b) reslicing will happen when we normalise anyway, c) you don't need to reslice to overlay structural and functional in SPM results viewer or MRICron (FSLView will require reslicing though).
- Diagnostics? spm_check_registration (see right)









Smoothing

- Necessary for validity of multiple comparisons correction with random field theory (full width at half max > 3x voxel size)
- Helps make residual errors in previous spatial preprocessing stages less problematic (e.g., imperfect normalisation, motion correction)
- Improves functional contrast-to-noise ratios for effects matching the size of the filter (matched filter theorem)
 but do you have an a priori prediction for this?

Workshop time

Setup (if running in your own time)

- Open a terminal:
 - cd /imaging/[yourusername]
 - scp -r /imaging/jc01/Workshop/aa_preprocessing ./
 - cd aa_preprocessing
 - matlab_r2012b (NB NOT guaranteed to work on other versions)
- In Matlab:
 - addpath /imaging/local/software/spm_cbu_svn/releases/ spm12_latest
 - addpath /imaging/local/software/AA/release-5.4.0/
 - edit aa_user_fmri

Common AA files

- aa_user_fmri User master script (you will definitely need to adapt to your study)
- aap_parameters_defaults_CBSU.xml default options (probably no need to change - but your user master script is likely to override several of these settings)
- aap_tasklist_fmri.xml pipeline definition (you will probably write your own)
- aap_prov.png graph of the pipeline. Very useful for working out what each module folder contains

Standard QA checks

- 1. raw data tsdiffana.
- 2. motion corrected data movement parameters, overlay images in FSLVIEW
- 3. normalisation segmentation, spm_check_registration, AA report
- 4. coregistration spm_check_registration, AA report